



Internal Appeals Procedure (Internal assessment decisions) (Exams)

Peacehaven Community School

Internal Appeals Procedure (Internal assessment decisions) (Exams)

Centre name	Peacehaven Community School
Centre number	56471
Date procedure first created	13/10/2023
Current procedure approved by	Head of Centre
Current procedure reviewed by	Head of Centre
Date of review	03/10/2024
Date of next review	30/09/2025

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name
Head of centre	Rachel Henocq
Senior leader(s)	Mark Hughes - Deputy Headteacher, Quality of Education Ann-Marie Waite - Deputy Headteacher, Inclusion, Culture and Ethos Joshua Burns - Deputy Headteacher, Outcome
Exams officer	Lauren James
Other staff (if applicable)	

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) at Peacehaven Community School are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations in the following JCQ documents: **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (5.3, 5.7), **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** (4.6, 6.1, 9) and **Instructions for conducting coursework** (6, 7, 13.5). This procedure is also informed by the JCQ documents **Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres**, **Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (4.5).

Introduction

Certain qualifications contain components/units of non-examination assessment, controlled assessment and/or coursework which are internally assessed (marked) by centres and internally reviewed/standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

The qualifications delivered at Peacehaven Community School containing internally assessed components/units are:

AQA - GCSE Art and Design (Art, craft and design) - 8201

AQA - GCSE Dance - 8236

AQA - GCSE Design and Technology (8552)

Eduqas - GCSE Food Preparation and Nutrition (C560P1)

NCFE - Level 1/2 Technical Award in Health and Fitness

OCR - CNAT Creative iMedia (J834)

Pearson BTEC Level 1 Award in Home Cooking Skills (QCF)

Pearson - BTEC Level 1/Level 2 Tech Award in Music Practice (RMP3)

Pearson - BTEC Tech Award Level 1/2 in Performing Arts

Sports Leaders UK - Level 2 Award in Sports Leadership

Purpose of the procedure

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements at Peacehaven Community School for dealing with appeals relating to internal assessment decisions.

This procedure ensures compliance with JCQ regulations which state that centres must:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Principles relating to centre assessed marks

The head of centre/senior leader(s) at Peacehaven Community School will ensure that the following principles are in place in relation to marking the work of candidates:

- A commitment to ensuring that whenever teaching staff mark candidates' work, that this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents
- All centre staff follow a robust Review of Marking - Internally Assessed Marks (Controlled assessments, coursework, and non-examination assessments) Policy.
- Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity and do not have any potential conflicts of interest (If AI tools have been used to assist in the marking of candidates' work, they will not be the sole marker)
- A commitment to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body (Where more than one subject teacher/tutor is involved in marking

candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking)

- On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if candidates believe that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to the marking, then they may make use of the internal appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking

Additional centre-specific principles:

Not Applicable

Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

The head of centre/senior leader(s) at Peacehaven Community School will:

- Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body
- Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted
- Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
- Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (this will either be the originals viewed under supervised conditions or copies) within the period of time as specified (see **Deadlines** below)
-
- Provide candidates with sufficient time to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
- Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking and confirm understanding that requests must be made in writing and will not be accepted after this deadline (see **Deadlines** below)
- Require candidates to make requests for a review of centre marking by submitting a request in writing by the candidate's parent/carer to the examinations officer and signed by both the parent/carer and the candidate
- Allow sufficient time for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks (see **Deadlines** below)
- Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review
- Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre
- Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking
- Ensure the outcome of the review of the centre's marking is made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body

- Ensure a written record of the review is kept and made available to the awarding body upon request
- Ensure the awarding body is informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review

Additional centre-specific procedure:

Not Applicable

Appeals against decisions to reject a candidate's work on the grounds of malpractice

The centre ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing work for assessments are aware of the potential for malpractice.

If there are doubts about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or irregularities are identified in a candidate's work before the candidate has signed the declaration of authentication/authentication statement (where required) and malpractice is suspected, Peacehaven Community School will:

If a candidate who is the subject of the decision disagrees with the decision:

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal:

-

Deadlines and timescales

- 2 working days.
- 3 working days of receiving the copies of the requested materials
- 5 working days

Changes 2024/2025

(Changed) Under **Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions**: reflected changes to JCQ's *Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks) suggested template for centres*.

(Added) Reference to coursework as detailed in JCQ's *Instructions for conducting coursework* (6) and with reference to *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (5.7) in relation to a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework.

(Added) New section **Appeals against decisions to reject a candidate's work on the grounds of malpractice**.

Centre-specific changes